





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/771,595		01/30/2001	Peter Hossel	51186 8957			
26474	7590	05/19/2003					
KEIL & W		_	EXAMINER				
WASHING		Γ AVENUE, N.W. 20036		LAMM, M	LAMM, MARINA		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1616 DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	16		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summany	09/771,595		HOSSEL ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit						
The AGAIL INC. DATE of this communication and	Marina Lamm	4 iab ab a	1616	de a					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she twith the correspondence address P riod for R ply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 N</u>	farch 2003 .			•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal r	matters, pr	osecution as to th	e merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-17</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.									
		•							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)	. ,	30							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice		(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PT						

Application/Control Number: 09/771,595

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment is made of the Request for Reconsideration filed 3/4/03. Claims pending are 1-17. Claim 6 has been withdrawn from further consideration as directed to non-elected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. The rejection of Claims 1-5 and 7-17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dieing et al. in combination with either Matsumoto et al. or Tanner et al. is maintained for the reasons of the record.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/4/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicants argue that "the Dying disclosure is clearly directed to 'shampoo', and no finding that the term 'cosmetic' has been used, even if true, renders this disclosure suggestive of anything except 'shampoo'". They further argue that "[t]he context of the Dying disclosure does not support any 'cosmetic' except that of shampoo." In response, it is noted that Dieing et al. explicitly teach that their compositions include hair cosmetic such as hair sprays, gels, gel sprays, mousses, etc. See p. 6, lines 3-4 and Translation. Thus, contrary to the Applicants' assertion, the compositions of Dieing et al. are not limited to shampoos. The courts have long held that reference's disclosure is not limited to the preferred embodiment and must be considered as a whole. Thus, *in re Preda*, 401 F.2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342, 344

Application/Control Number: 09/771,595

Art Unit: 1616

(CCPA 1968), the Court said that "in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teachings of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom." In the instant case, to ignore the teaching of other hair cosmetic preparation would be to consider only specific embodiment of Dieing et al. rather than the reference as a whole. Based on the Dieing's general teaching that their compositions include hair cosmetic such as hair sprays, gels, gel sprays, mousses, etc., one skilled in the art of hair cosmetic compositions would reasonably be expected to infer that Dieing's cationic crosslinked polymers are suitable for use not only in shampoo composition but in other hair cosmetic as well.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,595

Art Unit: 1616

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Lamm whose telephone number is (703) 306-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 to 5.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

ml

JOSE G. DEZS SEPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

1676